



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                  | FILING DATE                             | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|----------------------------------|---|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/016,744                       | 12/11/2001                              | Raymond P. Johnston  | 54404US009              | 7941             |
| 32692                            | 7590 06/30/2004                         |                      | EXAM                    | INER             |
| 3M INNOVATIVE PROPERTIES COMPANY |   |                      | LEWIS, KIM M            |                  |
|                                  | PO BOX 33427<br>ST. PAUL, MN 55133-3427 |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |
| ,                                |   |                      | 3743                    |                  |
|                                  |   |                      | DATE MAILED: 06/30/2004 | 4 7              |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

|   |  | A  |
|---|--|--|
|   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |
|   | 10/016,744   | JOHNSTON ET AL.  |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner   | Art Unit   |
|   | Kim M. Lewis   | 3743   |
| The MAILING DATE of this commun. Period for Reply   | ication appears on the cover sheet w   | ith the correspondence address   |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commous lift the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3)  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum state Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply Any reply received by the Office later than three months are arned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a nunication. 0) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thin atutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOt will, by statute, cause the application to become Al | reply be timely filed  ty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |
| Status  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) file  | ed on 06 January 2004.   |  |
|   | 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.   |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the practi  | for allowance except for formal mat  | • •  |
| Disposition of Claims   |  |  |
| 4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8 and 10-12</u> is/are rejected.  7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>9</u> is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restrict   | re withdrawn from consideration.   |  |
| Application Papers  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are:  Applicant may not request that any objected to the specific production of the specific production of the specific production is objected to the specific production of the specific production is objected to the specific production of the specific production is objected to the specific production of the specific production is objected to be specific production.   | a) accepted or b) objected to ction to the drawing(s) be held in abeya the correction is required if the drawing   | nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).<br>g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies  | documents have been received. documents have been received in A of the priority documents have beer nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).   | Application No  received in this National Stage  |
| Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Paper No(s)/Mail Date   | TO-948) Paper No   | Summary (PTO-413)<br>(s)/Mail Date<br>Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)<br>tailed Action.  |

Application/Control Number: 10/016,744

Art Unit: 3743

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Terminal Disclaimer

1. The terminal disclaimer filed on 1/6/04 disclaiming the terminal portion of any patent granted on this application, which would extend beyond the expiration date of U.S. Patent No. 6,420,622 has been reviewed and is accepted. The terminal disclaimer has been recorded.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-6, 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 4,739,454 ("Campbell et al.").

Regarding claims 1-6 and 12Campbell et al. disclose a drug delivery bandage comprising at least one fluid control film component (15) comprising at least one microstructure bearing surface having one or more channels (pores) therein that permit directional control of the liquid (col. 5, lines 15-18); a fluid source (14) containing at least one drug in fluid communication with the fluid control film component, wherein the drug delivery dressing is configured for delivery of the medicament to the skin; an adhesive layer (16); a backing layer (13, 34), which in the embodiment of Fig. 4, is adjacent film

Art Unit: 3743

layer (36), and a component, carboxypolymethylene, separate from the backing and adhesive layers.

As regards claim 11, the direction of fluid transport is substantially orthogonal to the plane of the film layer.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 6. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to

Application/Control Number: 10/016,744

Art Unit: 3743

consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

- 7. Claims 7, 8 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Campbell et al.
- 8. As regards claims 7, 8 and 10, Campbell et al. fail to teach a plurality of fluid control films. However, the examiner contends that it would have been *prima facie* obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the device of Campbell et al. to include additional microporous film layers in order to better control the flow of the drug to the user's skin. Moreover, it has been held that duplicating the components of a prior art device is a design consideration within the skill of the art. *In re Harza*, 274 F. 2d 669, 124 USPQ 378 (CCPA 1960).

The applicant should additionally note the since the film layer is microporous, the pores extend from the top surface to the bottom surface of the film layer.

### Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claim 9 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kim M. Lewis whose telephone number is 703.308.1191. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays to Thursdays from 5:30 am to 4:00 pm.

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Henry A. Bennett can be reached on 703.308.0101. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Kim M. Lewis
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3743

kml June 28, 2004